



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,951	_	12/11/2001	Brian Spinar	ENSEMB.026A 3656  EXAMINER	
20995	7590	06/01/2005			
KNOBBE	MARTI	ENS OLSON &	WILSON, ROBERT W		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, (	CA 9261	4	2661		
				DATE MAIL ED. 06/01/200	_

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/014,951	SPINAR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert W. Wilson	2661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ma	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 March 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage					
	_						
Attachment(s) PHRIN SAM							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) PRIMARY EXAMINEP 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/014,951 Page 2

Art Unit: 2661

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1.0 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2.0 Claims 1-8, 10-24, 26-27, 31-34, 37-40, 42-43, & 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)

as being anticipated by Schrader (U.S. Patent No.: 5,896,561)

Referring to claim 1, Schrader teaches: A method of obtaining bandwidth requests from transceivers to a base station for uplink bandwidth per col. 2 lines 7-57. The base station keeps track of level of activity on a channel associated with a transceiver (parameter which varies with time) per col. 2 lines 7-57. The activity level is compared to a threshold which means that the activity level must be inherently stored in order to be compared to a threshold per col. 2 lines 7-57. The base station selects a polling rate associated with dormant state (zero) or for the active state continuous which is broadcast polling or periodic polling per col. 7 line 21-col.8 line 39 wherein the channel activity level compared to a threshold determines whether the state is dormant or active (selecting a polling rate) per col. 2 lines 6-57. The base station periodically polls the transceiver (user) bandwidth requests at the dormant, continuous or periodic rates. The base station continuously compares the channel activity level to the threshold which corresponds to a transceiver or user. The base station changes the polling rate to dormant, continuous or periodic rates based upon the activity level of the channel (parameter) per col. 7 lines 5 line 30-col. 8 line 39.

In addition Schrader teaches:

Regarding claim 2, a plurality of activity levels are compared (plurality of parameters) per col. 2 lines 6-57 & col. 6 lines 1-11.

Regarding claim 3, activity level of the channel or common parameter per col. 2 lines 6-57 & col. 6 lines 1-11.

Regarding claim 4, activity level of the channel is composite per col. 2 lines 6-57 & col. 6 lines 1-11.

Regarding claim 5, communication between base and transceiver or broadband wireless per col. 2 lines 7-57.

Regarding claim 6, transceivers in the dormant state can poll per col. 7 lines 21-38.

Regarding claim 7, the transceiver per col. 2 lines 7-57 are CPE.

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claim 8, each transceiver has an inherent connection or individual connection per col. 2 lines 6-57.

Regarding claim 10, the activity level on the channel reflects a previous rate of use of bandwidth by the user per col. 2 lines 6-57 & col. 6 lines 1-11.

Regarding claim 11, the activity level on the channel reflects the quality of service requirement per col. 2 lines 6-57 & col. 6 lines 1-11.

Regarding claim 12, a plurality of activity levels are compared (plurality of parameters) per col. 2 lines 6-57 & col. 6 lines 1-11.

Regarding claim 13, a plurality of activity levels are compared (plurality of parameters) which also reflects previous bandwidth per col. 2 lines 6-57 & col. 6 lines 1-11.

Regarding claim 14, activity level of the channel is based on a plurality of parameters per col. 2 lines 6-57 & col. 6 lines 1-11.

Regarding claim 15, the activity level reflects quality of previous bandwidth in use and the QoS. Regarding claim 16, the finite number of polling categories are dormant or active per col. 2 lines 6-57. The polling rates associated with the polling categories are dormant, continuous, and periodic per col. 7 lines 21-col. 9 line 10.

Regarding claim 17, the polling rates associated with the polling categories are dormant, continuous, and periodic per col. 7 lines 21-col. 9 line 10.

Regarding claim 18, the polling rates associated with the polling categories are dormant, continuous, and periodic per col. 7 lines 21-col. 9 line 10.

Regarding claim 19, dormant or zero per col. 4 lines 21-37.

Regarding claim 20, a plurality of activity levels are compared (plurality of parameters) per col. 2 lines 6-57 & col. 6 lines 1-11.

Regarding claim 21, CSMA in dormant per col. 4 lines 21-67.

Regarding claim 22, CSMA in dormant & dormant is zero per col. 4 lines 21-67.

Regarding claim 23, while in dormant the transceiver can request a poll (poll-me) per col. 7 lines 21-37

Regarding claim 24, while in dormant the transceiver can request a poll (poll-me) per col. 7 lines 21-37

Regarding claim 26, when activity level increases (common parameters) polling rate changes per col. 2 lines 6-57 & col. 6 lines 1-11.

Regarding claim 27, while in dormant the transceiver can request a poll (poll-me) while will lead to a request of broadband wireless data per col. 7 lines 21-37.

Referring to claim 31, Schrader teaches: A method of obtaining bandwidth requests from transceivers to a base station for uplink bandwidth per col. 2 lines 7-57. The base station keeps track of level of activity on a channel associated with a transceiver (parameter which varies with time) per col. 2 lines 7-57. The activity level is compared to a threshold per col. 2 lines 7-57. The base station assigns transceiver to a polling category of dormant state or active state per col. 7 line 21-col.8 line 39 (polling category). If the polling category is dormant state then the transceiver is polled at a zero rate. If the polling category is continuous then the transceiver is polled at continuous which is broadcast polling or periodic polling per col. 7 line 21-col.8 line 39 (selecting a polling rate and periodically polling the user). The channel activity level compared to a threshold determines whether the state is dormant or active (assigning a different polling

Application/Control Number: 10/014,951

Art Unit: 2661

category). The base station periodically polls the transceiver (user) bandwidth requests at the dormant, continuous or periodic rates. The base station continuously compares the channel activity level to the threshold which corresponds to a transceiver or user. The base station changes the polling rate to dormant, continuous or periodic rates based upon the activity level of the channel (changing the polling rate).

In addition Schrader teaches"

Regarding claim 32, continuous or broadcast or multicast per col. 7 line 60-col. 8 line 11

Regarding claim 33, active state group assigned to continuous per col. 7 line 60-col. 8 line 11

Regarding claim 34, activity level of channel per col. 2 lines 34-57.

Regarding claim 37, CSMA in dormant per col. 4 lines 21-67

Regarding claim 38, activity level of channel per col. 2 lines 34-57.

Regarding claim 39, active state-continuous per col. 7 lines 21-67.

Regarding claim 40, the system has multiple channels in which a second channel also can have transceivers assigned to active state continuous per col. 7 lines 21-67.

Regarding claim 42, active or dormant state based upon activity level of channel or QoS to a user per col. 2 lines 6-57.

Regarding claim 43, polling rate change based upon activity level per col. 2 lines 6-57. Referring to claim 43, Schrader teaches: A method of obtaining bandwidth requests from transceivers to a base station for uplink bandwidth per col. 2 lines 7-57. The base station keeps track of level of activity on a channel associated with a transceiver (parameter which varies with time) per col. 2 lines 7-57. The activity level is compared to a threshold per col. 2 lines 7-57. The base station assigns transceiver to a polling group of dormant state or active state per col. 7 line 21-col.8 line 39 (polling category). If the polling group is dormant state then the transceiver is polled at a zero rate. If the polling group is continuous then the transceiver is polled at continuous which is broadcast polling or periodic polling per col. 7 line 21-col.8 line 39 (selecting a polling rate and periodically polling the user). The channel activity level compared to a threshold determines whether the state is dormant or active (assigning a different polling category). The base station periodically polls the transceiver (user) bandwidth requests at the dormant, continuous or periodic rates. The base station continuously compares the channel activity level to the threshold which corresponds to a transceiver or user. The base station changes the polling rate to dormant, continuous or periodic rates based upon the activity level of the channel (changing the polling rate).

#### In addition Schrader teaches:

Regarding claim 47, the activity level on the channel reflects the quality of service requirement of all users of that channel per col. 2 lines 6-57 & col. 6 lines 1-11.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3.0 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/014,951 Page 5

Art Unit: 2661

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4.0 Claims 48-58, 61-63, 67-69, & 72-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Schrader (U.S. Patent No.: 5,896,561)

Referring to claim 48, Schrader teaches: A system of obtaining bandwidth requests from transceivers (users) which share a communication link to the base station (station) for uplink bandwidth per col. 2 lines 7-57. The base station keeps track of level of activity on a channel (parameter) associated with a transceiver (polling policy) per col. 2 lines 7-57. The activity level is compared to a threshold per col. 2 lines 7-57. The base station assigns transceiver to a polling group of dormant state or active state per col. 7 line 21-col.8 line 39 (polling category). If the polling group is dormant state then the transceiver is polled at a zero rate. If the polling group is continuous then the transceiver is polled at continuous which is broadcast polling or periodic polling per col. 7 line 21-col.8 line 39 (direct polling of a user). The base station allocated bandwidth to the transceiver based upon the polled request.

Scharader does not expressly call for: modules but teaches the above limitations.

It is within the level of one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to implement the limitations in logic as modules.

In addition Schrader teaches:

Regarding claim 49, activity level of the channel is composite per col. 2 lines 6-57 & col. 6 lines 1-11.

Regarding claim 50, activity level of the channel is composite per col. 2 lines 6-57 & col. 6 lines 1-11.

Regarding claim 51, activity level of the channel is composite which also previous activity per col. 2 lines 6-57 & col. 6 lines 1-11.

Regarding claim 52, activity level of the channel is composite per col. 2 lines 6-57 & col. 6 lines 1-11.

Regarding claim 53, the polling rates associated with the polling categories are dormant, continuous, and periodic per col. 7 lines 21-col. 9 line 10

Regarding claim 54, col. 7 line 21-col. 9 line 11.

Regarding claim 55, col. 7 line 21-col. 9 line 10.

Regarding claim 56, CSMA per col. 4 lines 21-67.

Regarding claim 57, communication between base and transceiver or broadband wireless per col. 2 lines 7-57.

Regarding claim 58, transceivers in the dormant state can poll per col. 7 lines 21-38.

Regarding claim 61, activity level of the channel is composite per col. 2 lines 6-57 & col. 6 lines 1-11.

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claim 62, activity level of the channel is composite per col. 2 lines 6-57 & col. 6 lines 1-11.

Regarding claim 63, transceivers in the dormant state can poll per col. 7 lines 21-38. Regarding claim 67, the finite number of polling categories are dormant or active per col. 2 lines 6-57. The polling rates associated with the polling categories are dormant, continuous, and periodic per col. 7 lines 21-col. 9 line 10.

Referring to claim 68, Schrader teaches: A system of obtaining bandwidth requests from transceivers (users) which share a communication link to the base station (station) for uplink bandwidth per col. 2 lines 7-57. The base station inherently assigns bandwidth upon request to the transceiver (allocation of unrequested bandwidth). The base station keeps track of level of activity on a channel (parameter) associated with a transceiver (polling policy) per col. 2 lines 7-57. The activity level is compared to a threshold per col. 2 lines 7-57. The base station assigns transceiver to a polling group of dormant state or active state per col. 7 line 21-col.8 line 39. If the polling group is dormant state then the transceiver is polled at a zero rate. If the polling group is continuous then the transceiver is polled at continuous which is broadcast polling or periodic polling per col. 7 line 21-col.8 line 39 (assigning a polling category to a user). The channel activity level compared to a threshold determines whether the state is dormant or active (assigning a different polling category). The base station periodically polls the transceiver (user) bandwidth requests at the dormant, continuous or periodic rates. The base station continuously compares the channel activity level to the threshold which corresponds to a transceiver or user. The base station changes the polling rate to dormant, continuous or periodic rates based upon the activity level of the channel (changing the polling rate).

Scharader does not expressly call for: modules but teaches the above limitations.

It is within the level of one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to implement the limitations in logic as modules.

In addition Schrader teaches:

Regarding claim 69, activity level of the channel is composite per col. 2 lines 6-57 & col. 6 lines 1-11.

Regarding claim 72, CSMA in dormant per col. 4 lines 21-67

Regarding claim 73, CSMA in dormant per col. 4 lines 21-67

Regarding claim 74, CSMA in dormant per col. 4 lines 21-67

#### Claim Objections

Claims 9, 25, 29-30, 36-36, 41, 44-46, 59-60, 64-66, & 70-71 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Amendment

6.0 Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1-74 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Please refer to the above rejection for details.

Conclusion

7.0 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Robert W. Wilson whose telephone number is 571/272-3075. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on 571/272-3126. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert W Wilson

Examiner

Art Unit 2661

RWW 5/19/05

PHIRIN SAM